# A SENSITIVE METHOD FOR DETECTION AND QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF PYRROLIZIDINE ALKALOIDS

H. BIRECKA, J. L. CATALFAMO and R. N. EISEN

Department of Biological Sciences, Union College, Schenectady, NY 12308, U.S.A.

(Received 10 March 1980)

Key Word Index - Pyrrolizidine alkaloid; detection; quantitative determination; methyl orange.

Abstract—A sensitive and rapid method for detection and quantitative determination of pyrrolizidine alkaloids is presented. It is based on stoichiometric reaction of protonated alkaloids with methyl orange, followed by a release of the latter from the complex. The color intensity of the dye is assessed visually or spectrophotometrically. The method easily detects alkaloids at a concentration of  $0.5 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ . The sensitivity of the quantitative assay ranges from 0.006 to  $0.045 \,\mu\text{mol/ml}$  (from about 2 to  $15 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). The method proved to be especially useful during extraction, purification, and separation of minor pyrrolizidine alkaloids.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Dragendorff reagent in various modifications [1,2] and titration with  $10\,\mathrm{mM}$  p-toluenesulfonic acid in chloroform [3] have been commonly used for detecting and estimating pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PA's), respectively. The Dragendorff reagent shows a relatively low sensitivity to saturated as well as unsaturated PA's; spots on paper of about  $10\,\mathrm{mm}^2$  containing less than  $3\,\mu\mathrm{g}$  of PA's, are hardly detectable. A reliable titration even with 1 mM sulfonic acid requires well over  $30\,\mu\mathrm{g}$  of PA's. The highly sensitive Mattocks' method [4,5] allows one to assay only unsaturated PA's and is time-consuming.

A wide range of dyes has been used for spectrophotometric determination of alkaloids. Methyl orange was first introduced for estimation of cinchona alkaloids [6]. Modifications of the methyl orange reaction have been used for quantitative determination of various alkaloids, in particular nicotine, in human organs [7] or quinolizidine alkaloids in plants [8, 9]. In this study further modifications of the methyl orange method for detection and determination of PA's are described.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The methods described are based on protonation of PA's in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution with boric or acetic acid and their stoichiometric reaction with aqueous methyl orange; a yellow complex highly soluble in CHCl<sub>3</sub> is formed. Methyl orange is released from the complex in CHCl<sub>3</sub> by H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in ethanol after separation of the aqueous medium; its color intensity, assessed visually or measured at 525 nm, is indicative of the PA concentration.

# Detection and visual assessment of PA's

Various PA's, containing heliotridine, retronecine, supinidine, or trachelanthamidine as the necine moiety, were tested either separately or in mixtures. When reagent I (methyl orange and boric acid in  $H_2O$ ) is used at  $10 \,\mu$ l/ml CHCl<sub>3</sub>, a PA concentration of about  $0.5 \,\mu$ g/ml CHCl<sub>3</sub> can be readily detected. The presence of residual

pigments in petroleum, ethyl ether, or chloroform extracts of PA's from plant material did not alter the sensitivity. Neither did traces of  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  in the solvent interfere with the detection. The upper limit of a semiquantitative visual assessment is about 15 20  $\mu$ g PA/ml. Solvents, in particular methanol, in which aqueous methyl orange may be soluble, must be removed before CHCl<sub>3</sub> is added. When used for determining the completeness of extraction or the pattern of PA separation during liquid chromatography on various types of columns, the method proved to be much more reliable than testing with Dragendorff reagent. Its high sensitivity is of special importance in cases of minor PA's occurring in trace amounts in plants.

### Quantitative determination

When reagent I, pH 5.2, was used for spectrophotometric determination, significant differences in the molar absorptivity were found between various alkaloids (Table 1). These differences seem to be due to variations in the dissociation constants of the latter. Protonation of PA's with 0.5% acetic acid, pH 2.9, at  $5 \mu l/ml$  CHCl<sub>3</sub>, followed by addition of methyl orange solution at  $5 \mu l/ml$  CHCl<sub>3</sub>, decreased the differences. However, similar values for various PA's including their N-oxides were obtained only when 1.25% acetic acid, pH 2.7, at  $2 \mu l/ml$  CHCl<sub>3</sub>, followed by addition of methyl orange at  $5 \mu l/ml$ , was applied. The molar absorptivity indicated for acetylcurassavine, detected in Heliotropium plants [11], is similar to that found with other trachelanthamidine-containing PA's (to be published). Free necines, e.g. heliotridine, could not be determined even using 1.25 % acetic acid and reducing the total amount of  $H_2O$  to  $7 \mu l/ml$ .

The molar absorptivity values were obtained within the range of PA concentrations from 0.006 to 0.045  $\mu$ mol/ml, e.g. from 2 to 15  $\mu$ g of monocrotaline per ml CHCl<sub>3</sub>. At higher concentrations the values are lower, apparently due to an insufficient concentration of methyl orange (saturated 0.5% solution) as a reactant. Lower molar absorptivities were also obtained when the dye was premixed with the acetic acid solution. Intensely colored

344

Table 1. Calibration data for some pyrrolizidine alkaloids, Absorbance (A) measured at 525 nm

Alkaloid	MW	$pK_a^*$	$A \left(mg^{-1}  ml^{-1}\right)$			Molar absorptivity		
			H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	СН,СООН		Addressed to confidence ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	CH3COOH	
				0.5 %	1.25",	$H_3BO_3$	0.5 ",	1.25 " 0
Lasiocarpine	411	6.55 (7.64)	0.059	0.087	0.106	24 249	35 757	43 566
Monocrotaline	325	6.93	0.082	0.118	0.131	26 650	38 675	42 575
Heliotrine	312	7.82 (8.52)	0.102	0.126	0.132	31 824	39 312	41 184
Supinine	283	8.44 (9.58)	0.146	0.150	0.152	41 318	42 450	43 016
Lasiocarpine N-oxide	427		0.071	0.082	0.096	30 181	35018	40 992
Supinine N-oxide	299		0.095	0.125	0.142	28 405	37 375	42 458
Acctylcurrassavine	341		0.124	0.124	0.127	42 284	42 284	43 307

<sup>\*</sup>p $K_a$  values determined by Culvenor and Willette [10] in 80", methyl-cellosolve/water or in H<sub>2</sub>O (in parentheses).

samples may be diluted with acidic CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 parts of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 1 part of reagent III-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in ethanol). The rapid semiquantitative assay here described can be helpful in adjusting the concentration of unknowns to the sensitivity range.

The modified methyl orange method, with a sensitivity comparable to that of Mattocks' method, is much less error-prone, more rapid, and less expensive than the latter. It does not, however, allow one to distinguish unsaturated PA's from saturated ones. The method is much more sensitive than the titrimetric method; it was also much less affected by impurities when tested using PA extracts at various stages of purification.

For detection and visual assessment of PA's, reagent I proved to be quite adequate. Unlike the quantitative method, the semiquantitative one does not require centrifugation for phase separation.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents. Reagent 1: solution A  $-500\,\mathrm{mg}$  finely powdered methyl orange is dissolved in  $100\,\mathrm{ml}$  H $_2\mathrm{O}$  at  $40^\circ$  for  $20\,\mathrm{min}$ , cooled to 20 and filtered; solution B  $-12\,\mathrm{g}$  of H $_3\mathrm{BO}_3$  in  $100\,\mathrm{ml}$  H $_2\mathrm{O}$  at 100, cooled to 20, and the saturated solution decanted. Reagent 1 is prepared by mixing together both solutions in a 1:1 ratio; in order to prevent precipitation, prepare fresh. Reagent II:  $1.25^\circ$ , aq. HOAc. Reagent III: 2 ml conc. H $_2\mathrm{SO}_4$  is dissolved in  $100\,\mathrm{ml}$  EtOH. All chemicals used, including CHCl $_3$ , are reagent grade.

Procedures. Detection and visual assessment of PA's:  $5-100\,\mu l$  (or more) of a PA solution are transferred to a  $10\times100\,\mathrm{mm}$  test tube. If necessary, the solvent is evapd directly from the tube by vacuum. 1.0 ml of EtOH-free (dried) CHCl<sub>3</sub> is added, followed by  $10\,\mu l$  of reagent I. The solns are vigorously hand-mixed for  $10\,\mathrm{sec}$ . After  $1-2\,\mathrm{min}$  standing, the phases separate;  $0.5\,\mathrm{ml}$  of the CHCl<sub>3</sub> phase is carefully removed and transferred to a dry tube. Addition of  $50\,\mu l$  of reagent III followed by mixing, releases methyl orange from the PA complexes. Its concentration is assessed visually. Semiquantitative estimation is facilitated by comparing the color intensity with standard solutions of a PA at three concentrations

between 1 and  $15\,\mu\mathrm{g/ml}$  in capped tubes. The blank should be colorless

Quantitative determination. 25 500  $\mu$ l (or more) of a PA solution are taken and if necessary, the solvent is evaporated by vacuum. 5 ml dried, EtOH-free CHCl<sub>3</sub> followed by  $10 \,\mu$ l of reagent II are added and the solutions, in sealed vials, are mechanically mixed at high speed for 5 sec. Immediately afterwards,  $25 \,\mu$ l of solution A (see reagent I) are added and the mixing procedure is repeated for  $10 \, \text{sec}$ . The phases are allowed to separate by standing  $1/2 \, \text{min} : 3/4 \, \text{ml}$  of the CHCl<sub>3</sub> phase is taken and centrifuged at about  $400 \times g$  for  $2 \, \text{min} : 1.5/3.0 \, \text{ml}$  of the CHCl<sub>3</sub> phase is then transferred and reagent III is added (0.1 ml, ml), the solns mixed, and the absorbance is measured at  $525 \, \text{nm}$  versus a colorless blank.

Acknowledgement - We are grateful to Dr. C. C. J. Culvenor for his gift of pure pyrrolizidine alkaloid samples. Monocrataline was a gift from S. B. Pennick & Co. Lyndhurst, N.Y.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Munier, R. (1953) Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 35, 1225.
- 2. Thies, H. and Reuther, F. W. (1954) Naturwissenschaften 41, 230
- Bull, L. B., Culvenor, C. C. J. and Dick, A. T. (1968) in Frontiers of Biology (Neuberger, A. and Tatum, E. L., eds.). Vol. 9, pp. 1–293. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- 4. Mattocks, A. R. (1967) Analys. Chem. 39, 443.
- 5. Mattocks, A. R. (1968) Analyt. Chem. 40, 1749.
- Brodie, B. B. and Udenfriend, S. J. (1945) *J. Biol. Chem.* 158, 705.
- 7. Gettler, A. O. and Sunshine, I. (1951) Analyt. Chem. 23, 779,
- 8. Reifer, I. and Niziolek, S. (1957) Acta Biochim. Pol. 4, 165.
- Birecka, H., Rybicka, H. and Scibor-Marchocka, A. (1959) *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 6, 25.
- Culvenor, C. C. J. and Willette, R. E. (1966) *Aust. J. Chem.* 19, 885.
- Birecka, H., Frohlich, M. W., Hull, L. and Chaskes, M. J. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 421.